

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/837,459	IZAWA ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Rudy Zervigon	1763	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter Saxon.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2006

**Time:** 10:30

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*All pending*

**Claims discussed:**

*All pending*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I called Mr. Saxon on 3/1 to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Saxon called on the 2<sup>nd</sup> to confirm Applicant's intention to abandon the proceedings.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



*Rudy Zervigon* 3/2/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)